

# **REPORT OF MEETING**

Date and Time: Thursday, December 14 2017, 2:30 PM

Location: City of Hartford Offices, 260 Constitution Place, Hartford, CT

**Subject: Transit Technical Committee Meeting #4** 

## Attendees

Name	Organization	Phone Number	Email Address
Rich Andreski	CTDOT	860-594-2802	Richard.andreski@ct.gov
Rich Armstrong	CTDOT	860-594-3191	richard.armstrong@ct.gov
Bruce Olmstead	CTDOT	860-594-3186	bruce.olmstead@ct.gov
John Bernick	CTDOT	860-594-3304	John.Bernick@ct.gov
Maureen Lawrence	CTDOT	860 594-2911	Maureen.Lawrence@ct.gov
Mary Deppe	GHTD	860-247-5329	mdeppe@ghtd.org
Dennis Goderre	City of Hartford	860-757-9074	dennis.goderre@hartford.gov
Cara Radzins	CRCOG	860-522- 2217x233	cradzins@crcog.org
Dave Stahnke	TranSystems (TSC)	860-417-4585	dkstahnke@transystems.com
Ron Sacchi	TranSystems (TSC)	860-417-4555	rgsacchi@transystems.com
Casey Hardin	TranSystems (TSC)	860-417-4557	<u>crhardin@transystems.com</u>
Jim Rice	TSC	267-546-0067	jarice@transystems.com
Gina Trimarco	TSC	312-669-5839	gmtrimarco@transystems.com
John Loughran	WSP	212-465-5307	John.loughran@wsp.com
Kristen Ashley	WSP	860-815-0291	Kristen.Ashby@wsp.com
By Phone:			
Pat Padlo	TSC	860-417-4563	ptpadlo@transystems.com
Andrew Parker	TSC	312-669-5808	arparker@transystems.com
Ben Carlson	Goody Clancy	617-262-2760	ben.carlson@goodyclancy.com

Harry Garforth	Amtrak	215-349-4132	harry.goforth@amtrak.com
Steven Smith	Amtrak	215-728-1799	smithste@amtrak.com
Alan Warner	Amtrak	215-349-1953	wanerA@amtrak.com
Craig Weed	Amtrak		weedc@amtrak.com
Tim Sullivan	Amtrak		sullivTC@amtrak.com
Brian Soly	Amtrak		Brian.soly@amtrak.com
Lydia Kachadoorian	FRA		lydia.kachadoorian@dot.gov
Leah Sirmin	FTA	617-494-2459	<u>Leah.Sirmin@dot.gov</u>

### Meeting Purpose

An overview of the Public Advisory Committee (PAC) workshops, roadway alternatives, and refined station concepts was presented at the Transit Technical Committee (TTC) Meeting No. 4. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the refined station concepts so that one preferred station conceptcan be selected.

### Summary of Meeting

Gina Trimarco of TranSystems (TSC) began the meeting by reviewing the results of TTC Meeting No. 3 and showing the seven station concepts that were presented at that time. Since that meeting, the concepts have been refined based on input from the August and October PAC Workshops and a discussion with the State Department of Homeland Security. Based on the input received, the concepts have been refined so that there are now three station concepts on the north side of Asylum Street (which includes one "no overbuild" concept) and two on the south side of Asylum Street. Programing considerations for number of kiss and ride spaces, taxi/rideshare spaces, and bus bays were also reviewed and based on the two recently released memos that were sent to the TTC: Kiss and Ride and Taxi/Rideshare Programming Memorandum and Bus Bay Memorandum. Casey Hardin from TSC also presented new roadway alternatives which would affect access to the different station concepts.

The five concepts were reviewed and the evaluation criteria and ranking of each concept was shown. Additional analysis for each station will continue, including economic development benefits, construction phasing, cost, and bus operations.

#### Comments:

- Amtrak is concerned about the location of the interlockings on the north /south side of Asylum Street and how these impact the location of the trains stopping at the different station locations. TSC responded that the platform location has been refined; for the south of Asylum concepts, the station is located at the end of the platform. Ideally, the station should be located in the center of the platform, as would be true with the station concepts on the north side of Asylum Street.
- Amtrak is also concerned about the location of the train engines in relation to the station house; they would like the train engine to remain outside the station building and not idling within the station building, causing ventilation issues. They referred to the Back Bay Station in Boston stating that there has been and continues to be ventilation issues with that station. Even with exhaust fans it could be problematic so the station should

be designed to prevent this problem. TSC replied that they will layout the proposed train consists and compare to the station layouts. CTDOT noted that operating costs for ventilation systems should be considered where required.

- CTDOT stated that we need to make sure that access to /from station is appropriately designed. Stamford, CT is grappling with congestion issues when accessing their rail station. It is important for the Project Team to look at a separation of the different users entering into the station area (e.g. bus, taxi/shared rid vehicles, etc.)
- It is thought that more room for curbside drop off/pick up will be needed in the future due to higher demands for shared ride vehicles and autonomous vehicles.
- The City and the City's consultant (WSP/HOK) think that there would be better linkages between the station and transit oriented development (TOD) if the station was located on the north side of Asylum.
- CTDOT feels it is important to keep in mind how the different modes connect/interact with each other bus, rail, CTfastrak
- There is concern from the Office of Transit and Ridership about changing the CTfastrak routing to reach the station; they don't anticipate that a lot of their riders will need to go to the new station but instead continue their trip to downtown Hartford instead. In response, CTDOT responded that there quickly needs to be additional discussion and a decision on the CTfastrak alignment.
- A concern about the size and location of the parking facility was mentioned; it was
  questioned as to whether it made sense to use the most valuable piece of property for
  a parking structure and whether it was necessary to integrate parking with the rail
  station. It may make more sense to locate parking outside of the downtown with shuttle
  connections to the new multimodal station. The number of parking spaces has not yet
  been defined; it is important to not overbuild parking. The City of Hartford's zoning code
  has no parking minimums.
- CTDOT mentioned that the cap is all about the pedestrian experience and pedestrian access to the station facility.
- The City expressed concern about pushing the station too far back from Asylum Street toward Garden Street as shown in some of the concepts; it is important that the station have visibility along Asylum Street.
- The FRA has TOD guidelines through the National Transit Institute; these are worth referencing for this project.
- CTDOT would like to see a more detailed evaluation criteria matrix that takes into
  consideration a weighting factor that is applied each criteria. It is important that cost is
  being evaluated for each station concept and that cost factors are given a higher
  weight.

The meeting was concluded at approximately 4:30 p.m.. The next TTC meeting will be held in late January or early February 2018.